

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,960	10/28/2003	Isabelle Laye	1410/79708	4443
22242 7590 11/23/2007 FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			EXAMINER	
120 SOUTH LA	A SALLE STREET		WONG, LESLIE A	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
emerico, ib	, 00003 3 100		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Community	10/694,960	LAYE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leslie Wong	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 O	ctober 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 27-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 27-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	o) [_] Other					
	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20071121				

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 26, 2007 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 27-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yee et al (US Patent No. 5750177) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last office action. The new claims are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

Yee et al disclose a cheese containing added whey having a melting temperature of less than 200°F (see entire document, especially claims 10 and 12). Although not the preferred embodiment, Yee discloses emulsifying agents (see column 4, lines 46-51 and column 7, lines 16-26).

The claims differ as to the specific ratio of casein to whey.

Yee et al also teach the adjustment of the casein to whey ratio and specifically teach a ratio of at least 1:4 (whey to casein).

10/694,960

Art Unit: 1794

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to adjust the whey to casein ratio as taught by Yee et al and as is claimed because the manipulation of the casein to whey ratio is well-known in the art. In the absence of a showing to the contrary Applicant is using known components to obtain no more than expected results.

Yee et al clearly teach the adjustment of the whey to casein ratio (including 1:16, 1:8, and 1:4) and Yee et al specifically teaches making a cheese with a higher level of whey proteins than in conventional cheese (see column 5, lines 14-45).

Applicant's arguments filed October 26, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Yee et al do not teach the claimed whey to casein ratio and that it is impossible to modify the teachings of Yee et al. Applicant supplies Figures 1 and 2 to support arguments.

The rejection is applied under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). As stated above, the claims differ from Yee et al as to the specific ratio of casein to whey. Yee et al teach the adjustment of whey to casein ratio and a cheese with a higher level of whey proteins than in conventional cheese. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to modify the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one

10/694,960

Art Unit: 1794

of ordinary skill in the art. In this case, Yee et al teach a modification of the protein ratios. In the absence of a showing of unexpected results, applicant is using known components to obtain no more than expected results.

It is not clear whether supplied Figures 1 and 2 are relied upon to support unexpected results for the claimed invention. It is suggested that these results/observations be submitted in declaration form. Yee et al teach a melting temperature of less than 200°F (see entire document, especially claims 10 and 12). In the absence of a showing of unexpected results, Applicant's claimed temperature does not patentably define over that of Yee et al.

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number:

10/694.960

Art Unit: 1794

the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 5

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1794

LAW

November 21, 2007